# FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Person						
Prepar Statem		Arthur F. Hickman Jr.	Dept.:	Dept. of Health		
Phone	:	225-219-7330	Office:	Board of Dentistry		
				LAC 46:XXXIII.1709 "Examination of Dentists"; .1711		
				"Examination of Dental		
				Hygienists"; and 1713 "Board Approved Regional or National		
Return Addre		P.O. Box 5256	Rule Title:	Independent Third Party Clinical Examinations"		
ridare		1.0.0000	- Traile Traile.	Examinations		
		Baton Rouge, LA 70821	- Date Rule			
		Manager 19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19	Takes Effect:	Immediately		
			SUMMARY			
			omplete sentences)			
				tatutes, there is hereby submitted a		
fiscal a	and econo DWING S	omic impact statement on the TATEMENTS SUMMARIZE A	rule proposed for add	ption, repeal or amendment. THE EETS, I THROUGH IV AND <u>WILL</u>		
		IN THE LOUISIANA REGISTI				
	I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)					
Ot	her than t	he cost of rulemaking, there are	e no estimated impleme	entation costs or savings for state or		
local government units resulting from the promulgation of the proposed rule change. The cost for the Louisiana State Board of Dentistry (LSBD) is approximately \$500 in FY 26 for the notice and rule						
pu	blication i	in the <i>Louisiana Register</i> . The pro	posed rule change upd	lates licensure requirements to align		
		practices.				
	TIMATEI NITS (Sum		LLECTIONS OF STAT	E OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL		
	e propose vernment		pated to impact the re	evenue collections of state or local		
		O COSTS AND/OR ECONOR SINESSES, OR NON-GOVERNI		DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS,		
		rnmental groups due to the rule		affected persons, small businesses doption.		
IV. ES	TIMATEI	D EFFECT ON COMPETITION	AND EMPLOYMENT	(Summary)		
Th	e propose	ed rule change will have no effe	ct on competition or en	nployment.		
	1-11	1/1/1		Kon K Dowl Ford		
Signa	ture of He	ead or Designee	Legislat	ive Fiscal Officer or Designee		
Ö		O	259.5141	OHYC		
		m, Executive Director Title of Agency Head or Desig	nee	1		
7.1		Time of Figures, Freder of Debig	9/	05/2005		
09/04 Date	1/25 of Signatu	ire	Date of	Signature		

## FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

The following information is required in order to assist the Legislative Fiscal Office in its review of the fiscal and economic impact statement and to assist the appropriate legislative oversight subcommittee in its deliberation on the proposed rule.

Provide a brief summary of the content of the rule (if proposed for adoption, or repeal) or a brief summary of the change in the rule (if proposed for amendment). Attach a copy of the notice of intent and a copy of the rule proposed for initial adoption or repeal (or, in the case of a rule change, copies of both the current and proposed rules with amended portions indicated).

The Louisiana State Board of Dentistry is updating licensure examination rules to align with current practice. LAC 46:XXXIII.1709, Examination of Dentists, is amended to clarify that the Board now only accepts the American Board of Dental Examiners (ADEX) exam for initial licensure by examination for dentists. LAC 46:XXXIII.1711, Examination of Dental Hygienists, is amended to specify that the Board now only accepts the ADEX exam for initial licensure by examination for dental hygienists. LAC 46:XXXIII.1713, Board Approved Regional or National Independent Third Party Clinical Examinations, is repealed, as it is no longer necessary given that ADEX is the sole accepted examination moving forward.

A. Summarize the circumstances, which require this action. If the Action is required by federal regulation, attach a copy of the applicable regulation.

The Louisiana State Board of Dentistry is amending and repealing certain licensure examination rules to remove unnecessary language and align with current practice. LAC 46:XXXIII.1709, Examination of Dentists, is amended to eliminate surplus provisions; this change has no substantive effect. LAC 46:XXXIII.1711, Examination of Dental Hygienists, is amended for the same reason, with no substantive effect. LAC 46:XXXIII.1713, Board Approved Regional or National Independent Third Party Clinical Examinations, is repealed because it is no longer necessary; this repeal also has no substantive effect.

- B. Compliance with Act 11 of the 1986 First Extraordinary Session
  - (1) Will the proposed rule change result in any increase in the expenditure of funds? If so, specify amount and source of funding.

The proposed rule revision will not require any additional funding or expenditure of funds.

(2)		above is yes, has the Legislature specifically appropriated the func- tiated expenditure increase?
	(a)	YES. If yes, attach documentation.
	(b) X	NO. If no, provide justification as to why this rule change should be

published at this time

The Louisiana State Board of Dentistry operates on self-generated funds and receives no money from the legislature.

### FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT WORKSHEET

#### I. A. COSTS OR SAVINGS TO STATE AGENCIES RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED

1. What is the anticipated increase (decrease) in costs to implement the proposed action?

COSTS	FY 26	FY 27	FY 28
PERSONAL SERVICES	\$0	\$0	\$0
OPERATING EXPENSES	\$500	\$0	\$0
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	\$0	\$0	\$0
OTHER CHARGES	\$0	\$0	\$0
EQUIPMENT	\$0	\$0	\$0
MAJOR REPAIR & CONSTR.	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$500	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0
POSITIONS (#)	0	0	0

2. Provide a narrative explanation of the costs or savings shown in "A. 1.", including the increase or reduction in workload or additional paperwork (number of new forms, additional documentation, etc.) anticipated as a result of the implementation of the proposed action. Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating these costs.

Other than the cost of rulemaking, there are no estimated implementation costs or savings for state or local government units resulting from the promulgation of the proposed rule change. The cost for the Louisiana State Board of Dentistry (LSBD) is approximately \$500 in FY 26 for the notice and rule publication in the *Louisiana Register*.

3. Sources of funding for implementing the proposed rule or rule change.

SOURCE	FY 26	FY 27	FY 28
STATE GENERAL FUND	\$0	\$0	\$0
AGENCY SELF-GENERATED	\$500	\$0	\$0
DEDICATED	\$0	\$0	\$0
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0
OTHER (Specify)	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$500	\$0	\$0

4. Does your agency currently have sufficient funds to implement the proposed action? If not, how and when do you anticipate obtaining such funds?

The Louisiana State Board of Dentistry has sufficient funds to implement these rule changes.

## B. <u>COST OR SAVINGS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED.</u>

1. Provide an estimate of the anticipated impact of the proposed action on local governmental units, including adjustments in workload and paperwork requirements. Describe all data, assumptions and methods used in calculating this impact.

The proposed rule changes are not anticipated to result in any costs or savings for local governmental units.

2. Indicate the sources of funding of the local governmental unit, which will be affected by these costs or savings.

The proposed rule change will not impact sources of funding of local governmental units.

## FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT WORKSHEET

### II. EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

A. What increase (decrease) in revenues can be anticipated from the proposed action?

REVENUE INCREASE/DECREASE	FY 26	FY 27	FY 28
STATE GENERAL FUND	\$0	\$0	\$0
AGENCY SELF-GENERATED	\$0	\$0	\$0
DEDICATED	\$0	\$0	\$0
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0
LOCAL FUNDS	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0

<sup>\*</sup>Specify the particular fund being impacted.

B. Provide a narrative explanation of each increase or decrease in revenues shown in "A." Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating these increases or decreases.

The proposed rule changes will not affect revenue collections for state or local governmental units.

### FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT WORKSHEET

## III. COSTS AND / OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS, SMALL BUSINESSES, OR NONGOVERNMENTAL GROUPS

A. What persons, small businesses, or non-governmental groups would be directly affected by the proposed action? For each, provide an estimate and a narrative description of any effect on costs, including workload adjustments and additional paperwork (number of new forms, additional documentation, etc.), they may have to incur as a result of the proposed action.

There are no estimated costs and/or economic benefits to directly affected persons, small businesses or non-governmental groups due to the rule proposed for initial adoption.

B. Also provide an estimate and a narrative description of any impact on receipts and/or income resulting from this rule or rule change to these groups.

The proposed rule change will not have an effect on receipts or income.

#### IV. EFFECTS ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT

Identify and provide estimates of the impact of the proposed action on competition and employment in the public and private sectors. Include a summary of any data, assumptions and methods used in making these estimates.

The proposed rule change will have no effect on competition or employment.